

Kasecrafter Testimonials

VOL. I · NO. 10

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 29, 2026

KASECRAFTPORTAL.COM

LANDMARK CASES

A Shovel, a Cell Floor, and a Handwritten Complaint: Why Judges Read Pro Se Papers Kindly

In Haines v. Kerner (1972), the Supreme Court said that court papers written without a lawyer must be read more generously than lawyers' papers. Here is what that rule promises, where later cases limited it, and why judges still disagree about how far it should go.



Sandra Jenkins

KASECRAFT JOURNALIST

Thursday, October 29, 2026

At the Illinois State Penitentiary in Menard, a 66-year-old prisoner named Francis Haines got into a fight with another inmate. According to Oyez, it ended when Haines hit the other man over the head with a shovel. The prison sent him to solitary confinement for 15 days.

In that cell, Haines said, he had to sleep on the floor with only blankets. He said this made an old foot injury and a circulation problem worse.

He had no lawyer, so he wrote his own lawsuit. That rough, homemade complaint led to one of the most often quoted sentences in American law for people who represent themselves.

The facts

Haines sued the Governor of Illinois, Otto Kerner, along with state and prison officials, under the federal civil rights law now found at 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He did not deny hitting the other inmate. His claims were that he was punished without fair process and that the conditions of his punishment hurt him.

The trial court dismissed his case before he could offer any evidence. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit agreed and stressed that prison officials have "wide discretion" over discipline. The Supreme Court agreed to hear the case and appointed a lawyer to argue for Haines.

The question

Can a court throw out a lawsuit written by someone without a lawyer just because the writing is rough, without first giving that person any chance to prove the claims?

The holding and the reasoning

In *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519 (1972), decided January 13, 1972, the Court said no. The vote was 7-0. Justices Powell and Rehnquist took no part. The opinion was unsigned.

The key sentence says a pro se complaint is held to "less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers." The test the Court applied at the time allowed a case to be dismissed only if it was "beyond doubt" that the plaintiff could prove "no set of facts" supporting his claim. Under that test, the Court said, Haines deserved a chance to offer evidence.

The Court was careful to say it took no view on whether his claims were true.

The ending is worth knowing. When the case went back to the trial court, a jury found for the prison officials. *Haines* won him a hearing. It did not win him the case.

How later cases built on it, and limited it

- **Repeated:** In *Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 97 (1976), the Court restated the *Haines* rule. In a footnote it also answered Justice Stevens, who had argued in dissent that the decision stepped back from *Haines*.
- **Strengthened:** In *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89 (2007), a Colorado prisoner without a lawyer said officials had stopped his hepatitis C treatment. The Court reversed the dismissal of his case and said papers filed without a lawyer are "to be liberally construed."
- **Limited, part 1: the rules still apply.** In *McNeil v. United States*, 508 U.S. 106 (1993), a man without a lawyer sued the federal government before finishing a required step, filing a claim with the agency first, under 28 U.S.C. § 2675(a). His case was dismissed. The Court said it had never read procedural rules in a way meant to excuse mistakes by people without lawyers. It noted that the rules do bend in a few special prison situations, such as *Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266 (1988).
- **Limited, part 2: the judge is not your lawyer.** In *Pliler v. Ford*, 542 U.S. 225 (2004), the Court held that federal judges have "no obligation to act as counsel or paralegal" to people without lawyers. It said that requiring them to give such advice would undermine their role as neutral decision-makers.
- **Limited, part 3: the old test is gone.** *Haines* relied on the "no set of facts" test. *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544 (2007), retired that language. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662 (2009), held that a complaint needs enough facts to make its claims "plausible". It also said courts do not have to accept bare conclusions as true.

So is *Haines* still good law? Its main rule is: the Court restated it in *Erickson*. But the "no set of facts" test it used is no longer the standard. Today, a generous reading of a complaint still has to find enough facts to make the claim plausible.

Why it matters if you represent yourself

Haines is a promise of a fair reading, not a free pass. Courts will try to understand what you meant. But deadlines, required steps, and the need to state real facts still apply. The judge also will not tell you how to fix your case. Court self-help centers, legal aid offices, and licensed attorneys can explain how these rules work where you live.

The debate

People who favor generous readings say the courts must be open to everyone, not just to people who can hire lawyers. An article in the Indiana Journal of Law and Social Equality calls the Court's "counsel or paralegal" language part of a pattern of "negative treatment" of people without lawyers.

Others say judges must stay neutral and treat both sides the same, as *Pliler* and *McNeil* stress. A newer worry has also appeared. A September 2026 EDRM article describes a court suggesting that "the time may be near for an exception to the *Erickson* liberal-construction rule" when people without lawyers use AI to draft long, confusing filings.

Food for Thought

- Where is the line between reading a complaint generously and building the case for one side?
- If AI helps someone draft court papers, should those papers still get the generous reading meant for people without lawyers?
- Haines won the right to be heard but lost at trial. Was the case still a victory for him?

How Kasecraft can help

Kasecraft's /drafting page offers free court-formatted templates, a guided document builder, and a sensitive-information check to help you organize your papers. To find a court self-help center, legal aid office, or law library near you, visit /resources. Kasecraft tools are not legal advice.

KASECRAFT FEATURE SPOTLIGHT

Verifiable Certificates and Transcripts

When legal fees are out of reach, you do not have to walk into court alone and unprepared. Each edition, we look at one Kasecraft tool and how it helps self-represented litigants present their case with confidence.

WHAT IT DOES

Every completed Moot Court session is logged with its date, type, role, and hours. Sealed, numbered certificates and transcripts can be verified by anyone online.

AN EXAMPLE

A paralegal student completes ten practice sessions and orders a transcript. Her program checks the serial number online in seconds.

WHY IT PUTS YOU IN A STRONGER POSITION

- Documented preparation gives students, paralegals, and advocates a record of real practice.

Cost: \$5 per certificate and transcript set; verification is free. kasecraftportal.com/verify

MORE TOOLS IN YOUR CORNER

Workshops: Short live and recorded lessons on filing, discovery, motions, and hearings.

Moot Court: Rehearse your hearing with a volunteer judge and opposing side before it counts.

Members' ChatRoom: Real-time, moderated topic rooms for encouragement and practical tips.

Kasecraft tools are self-help resources, not legal advice or representation.

SOURCES AND CITATIONS

1. Haines v. Kerner - Oyez <https://www.oyez.org/cases/1971/70-5025>

2. Erickson v. Pardus, 551 U.S. 89 (2007) - Library of Congress
<https://tile.loc.gov/storage-services/service/ll/usrep/usrep551/usrep551089/usrep551089.pdf>
3. McNeil v. United States, 508 U.S. 106 (1993) - Cornell LII <https://www.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/92-6033.ZO.html>
4. Pliler v. Ford, 542 U.S. 225 (2004) - Cornell LII <https://www.law.cornell.edu/supremecourt/text/542/225>
5. Should There Be an "A.I. Exception" to Liberal Construction of Pro Se Pleadings? - EDRM
<https://edrm.net/2026/09/should-there-be-an-a-i-exception-to-liberal-construction-of-pro-se-pleadings/>

This article is general information, not legal advice. Laws change and differ by place. For advice about your situation, contact a licensed attorney, legal aid, or your court's self-help center.

Sandra Jenkins is the Kasecraft Journalist byline for Kasecrafter Testimonials, and her portrait is digitally created. Articles are researched from public sources and drafted with AI assistance, then published automatically every Monday and Thursday. They are general information, not legal advice. Spot an error? Write to kasecraftportal@aol.com.

ADVERTISE WITH US · COMMUNITY NOTICE BOARD

Your Notice Could Be Here

Businesses, individuals, schools, and organizations are invited to reach self-represented litigants, families, and students on the Kasecraft Community Notice Board: a trusted place to share announcements, request or offer assistance, and promote events and resources. Every listing is posted by a registered member and held to our community policy.

- **Six categories:** Announcement, Seeking help, Offering help, Event, Resource, and Other.
- **Simple to write:** a clear headline, a category, up to 500 characters, and an optional image link.
- **Flexible terms:** 30 days for \$5, 60 days for \$9, or 90 days for \$12 (best value, save 20%). Secure checkout through Stripe; Kasecrafter Dollars and member rewards apply automatically.
- **Private replies:** members respond through on-site messages. Your email and phone number are never displayed.
- **Published fast:** your notice goes live as soon as payment is confirmed, and readers can save it to come back later.

Get started: create your free account or log in at kasecraftportal.com/notices, then click Post a Notice. *Signing up is free. Listings start at \$5 for 30 days.*

SAMPLE LISTINGS

EVENT

Free Legal Forms Clinic

Library volunteers help you find the right court forms. Saturdays, 10 to 1.

OFFERING HELP

Exhibit Lists Reviewed

Two-time pro se plaintiff offering to review exhibit lists. Message me on the site.

RESOURCE

Fee Waiver Guide

A plain-language walkthrough of court fee waiver forms and where to file them.